arXiv:1704.02675v1 [math.CO] 10 Apr 2017

Largest regular multigraph with three distinct eigenvalues

Hiroshi Nozaki

April 11, 2017

Abstract

Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order n that has only three distinct eigenvalues. In this paper, we consider the largest possible graph G for given k. For $k = 2, 3, 7$, the Moore graphs are largest. For $k \neq 2, 3, 7, 57$, we show an upper bound $n \leq q^2 + q + 1$, where $q = k - 1$. The existence of a connected k-regular multigraph G of order $q^2 + q + 1$ with only three distinct eigenvalues is equivalent to the existence of a finite projective plane $PG(2, q)$ that admits a polarity.

Key words: Graph spectrum, Moore bound, linear programming bound, projective plane, s-distance set.

1 Introduction

Let G be a multigraph (V, E), which may have a loop. The adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ of G is defined to be the square matrix indexed by V with the entries

$$\boldsymbol{A}(u, v) = \begin{cases} m(u, v), & \text{if } \{u, v\} \in E, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $m(u, v)$ is the number of edges between u and v if $u \neq v$, and $m(u, u)$ is the number of loops on u. The eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{A}$ are called the eigenvalues of G. The eigenvalues of a graph sometimes determine the regularity of the graph and give a good characterization (see [7] as a general reference). In particular, the second-largest eigenvalue is interesting in the study of graph connectivity and expanders (see [2, 7, 17]). As a major problem we would like to find a large graph that has a small second-largest eigenvalue.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C50 (05D05)

Hiroshi Nozaki: Department of Mathematics Education, Aichi University of Education, 1 Hirosawa, Igaya-cho, Kariya, Aichi 448-8542, Japan. hnozaki@auecc.aichi-edu.ac.jp.

Let n be the order, g the girth, λ the second-largest eigenvalue, and d the number of non-trivial distinct eigenvalues of G. Suppose G is a k-regular simple graph. Nozaki [23] shows that for given n, k, the graph satisfying $g \geq 2d$ has the smallest second-largest eigenvalue λ in all k-regular graphs of order n. Cioabă, Koolen, Nozaki, and Vermette [9] show that for given λ, k, the graph satisfying $g \geq 2d$ has the largest order n in all k-regular graphs with second-largest eigenvalue at most λ. The graph satisfying $g \geq 2d$ is distance-regular [1, 23], and the number of eigenvalues is small considering the order. The linear programming bound [23] plays the main role in the two results. The graph attaining the linear programming bound has a relatively small number of eigenvalues (see [23, Remark 2]).

Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order n with only d non-trivial eigenvalues. Since the diameter of G is at most d, we have the Moore bound $n \leq 1 + k\sum_{i=0}^{d-1}(k-1)^i$. A Moore graph is largest in all graphs for fixed numbers k and d. Unfortunately a Moore graph does not exist except for $(d,k) = (2,2), (2,3), (2,7), (2,57)$ [4, 10]. We would like to consider the following problem.

Problem 1.1. Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph and d a fixed natural number. Suppose the number of distinct eigenvalues of G is equal to $d+1$. Then find the largest possible graphs G and classify them.

In this paper, we deal with the case $d = 2$. We prove the upper bound $n \leq q^2+q+1$ for $k \neq 2, 3, 7, 57$, where $q = k-1$. When q is a prime power, a largest graph is obtained from a symmetric incidence matrix of the classical projective plane $PG(2,q)$.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, the linear programming bound [23] is generalized for connected regular multigraphs. We also give a certain improvement of the Moore bound with prescribed distinct eigenvalues. In Section 3, we prove the upper bound $n \leq q^2+q+1$ for $k \neq 2, 3, 7, 57$. In Section 4, we construct the graph that attains $n \leq q^2+q+1$ from a classical finite projective plane. Indeed the existence of a connected k-regular multigraph G of order q^2+q+1 with only three distinct eigenvalues is equivalent to the existence of a finite projective plane $PG(2,q)$ that admits a polarity. In Section 5, we give several remarks.

2 Bounds for regular multigraphs

Let V be a finite set, and E a subset of $\{\{u,v\}\colon u,v \in V\}$. Let (E,m) be a multiset with underlying set E and multiplicity function m. Let G be a multigraph $(V,(E,m))$, which may have a loop $\{v\} \in E$. The *adjacency matrix* $\boldsymbol{A}$ of G is the symmetric matrix indexed by V with the (u,v)-entry

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{uv} = \begin{cases} m(\{u,v\}) & \text{if } \{u,v\} \in E, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a multiset $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ defined as (E, m), where $n = \sum_{e \in E} m(e)$. For $v_j \in V$ and $e_{i_j} \in \mathcal{E}$, a sequence $w_p = (v_0, e_{i_1}, v_1, e_{i_2}, v_2, \ldots, v_{p-1}, e_{i_p}, v_p)$ is a *walk* if $e_{i_j} = \{v_{j-1}, v_j\}$ for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$. We shortly write a walk $w_p = (e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_p})$. The number p is called the *length* of a walk. A walk w_p is *non-backtracking* if there does not exist $j \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ such that $i_j = i_{j+1}$, or $p = 1$. A non-backtracking walk w_p is a *cycle* if $v_0 = v_p$. The minimum length of cycles in G is called the *girth* of G. If G has a loop, then the girth of G is 1. It is well known that the (u, v)-entry of $\boldsymbol{A}^i$ is the number of walks of length i from u to v. A graph G is *k-regular* if $\sum_{v \in V} m(\{u, v\})$ is k for each $u \in V$. A graph G is *connected* if there exists a walk from u to v for any $u, v \in V$.

Let $F_i^{(k)}$ denote a polynomial of degree i defined by

$$F_0^{(k)}(x) = 1, \qquad F_1^{(k)}(x) = x, \qquad F_2^{(k)}(x) = x^2 - k, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$F_i^{(k)}(x) = xF_{i-1}^{(k)}(x) - (k-1)F_{i-2}^{(k)}(x) \tag{2.2}$$

for $i \geq 3$. Note that $F_i^{(k)}(k) = k(k-1)^{i-1}$ for $i \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. Then the (u, v)-entry of $F_i^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{A})$ is the number of non-backtracking walks of length i from u to v.*

Proof. We use indcution on i. Let $b_{uv}^{(i)}$ be the number of non-backtracking walks of length i from u to v. Let $f_{uv}^{(i)}$ be the (u, v)-entry of $F_i^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{A})$. For $i = 1$, the assertion is trivial. For $i = 2$, the (u, v)-entry $a_{uv}^{(2)}$ of $\boldsymbol{A}^2$ is the number of walks of length 2 from u to v. A walk that has backtracking must form (e_i, e_i). The assertion follows from $b_{uv}^{(2)} = a_{uv}^{(2)} - k\delta_{uv}$, where δ is the Kronecker delta.

Suppose $f_{uv}^{(j)} = b_{uv}^{(j)}$ for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, i-1\}$. Since $F_i^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \boldsymbol{A}F_{i-1}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{A}) - (k-1)F_{i-2}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{uv}^{(i)} &= \sum_{s \in V} f_{us}^{(1)} f_{sv}^{(i-1)} - (k-1) f_{uv}^{(i-2)} \\ &= \sum_{s \in V} b_{us}^{(1)} b_{sv}^{(i-1)} - (k-1) b_{uv}^{(i-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The value $\sum_{s \in V} b_{us}^{(1)} b_{sv}^{(i-1)}$ is the number of walks $(e_1, \ldots, e_p)$ such that $e_1 = \{u, *\}$, $e_2 = \{*, v\}$, and $(e_2, \ldots, e_p)$ is non-backtracking. We remove walks that have backtracking, namely satisfy $e_1 = e_2$. For given non-backtracking walk $(e_3, \ldots, e_p)$, the number of choices of e_1 is equal to $k - 1$ because $e_1 \neq e_3$. Therefore $f_{uv}^{(i)} = b_{uv}^{(i)}$ follows. $\square$

Singleton [27] proved the same theorem as Theorem 2.1 only for k-regular simple graphs. Let $\boldsymbol{I}$ denote the identity matrix. Let $\boldsymbol{J}$ denote the matrix whose entries are all 1. The following bound is called the linear programming bound.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order n with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. Let $\tau_0, \ldots, \tau_d$ be the distinct eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{A}$, where $\tau_0 = k$. If there exists a polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} f_i F_i^{(k)}(x)$ with a positive integer s and real numbers $f_0, \ldots, f_s$ such that $f_0 > 0$, $f_i \geq 0$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, $f(k) > 0$, and $f(\tau_j) \leq 0$ for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, then*

$$n \leq \frac{f(k)}{f_0}.$$

Proof. Since $\boldsymbol{A}$ is a real symmetric matrix, we have the spectral decomposition $\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \tau_i \boldsymbol{E}_i$, where $\boldsymbol{E}_0 = (1/n)\boldsymbol{J}$. It follows that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{d} f(\tau_j)\boldsymbol{E}_j = f(\boldsymbol{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} f_i F_i^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{A}). \tag{2.3}$$

Taking the traces in (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(k) = \operatorname{tr}(f(k)\boldsymbol{E}_0) &\geq \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{d} f(\tau_j)\boldsymbol{E}_j\right) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s} f_i F_i^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{A})\right) \geq \operatorname{tr}(f_0 \boldsymbol{I}) = n f_0, \end{aligned}$$

because $\boldsymbol{E}_j$ is positive semidefinite, and each entry in $F_i^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{A})$ is non-negative by Theorem 2.1. It therefore follows $n \leq f(k)/f_0$. □

Nozaki [23] proved the same theorem as Theorem 2.2 only for k-regular simple graphs. Let $k_i = k(k-1)^{i-1}$ and $k_0 = 1$. The following lemma is used in a proof of Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order n with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. Then the number of non-zero entries in $F_i^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{A})$ is at most nk_i.*

Proof. For each $u \in V$, the number of non-backtracking walks of length i from u is equal to k_i. This lemma therefore follows. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order n with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. Let $F(x)$ be a polynomial that can be expressed by*

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} f_i F_i^{(k)}(x) \tag{2.4}$$

for some real numbers $f_0, \dots, f_s$. If $F(\boldsymbol{A})$ is positive, then

$$n \le \sum_{i \in \{0,\dots,d\}: f_i > 0} k_i. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Since each (u,v)-entry of $F(\boldsymbol{A})$ is positive, there exists $i \in \{0,\dots,d\}$ such that $f_i > 0$ and the (u,v)-entry in $F_i^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{A})$ is positive. Comparing the numbers of positive entries in the both sides in (2.4), it follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$n^2 \le \sum_{i \in \{0,\dots,s\}: f_i > 0} nk_i.$$

This implies the lemma. □

Let $H_G(x)$ denote the Hoffman polynomial [14, 15] of a regular graph G, which is the polynomial of least degree satisfying $H_G(\boldsymbol{A}) = \boldsymbol{J}$. If $\boldsymbol{A}$ is symmetric and has the distinct eigenvalues $\tau_0, \dots, \tau_d$, where $\tau_0 = k$, then H_G can be expressed by

$$H_G(x) = n \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{x - \tau_i}{k - \tau_i}.$$

Let $F_G(x)$ denote the polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^{d}(x - \tau_i)$. We can use $F_G(x)$ as $F(x)$ in Theorem 2.4. For given eigenvalues $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d$, we can obtain the coefficients $f_0, \dots f_d$ in the expression $F_G(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} f_i F_i^{(k)}(x)$. If each f_i is positive, then the bound (2.5) coincides with the Moore bound. Therefore if we know distinct eigenvalues, then the Moore bound is improved.

3 Upper bound for regular multigraphs with three eigenvalues

Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. If $\boldsymbol{A}$ has only three distinct eigenvalues, then the diameter of G must be at most 2. Let $q = k - 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order n with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{A}$ has only three distinct eigenvalues k, τ_1, τ_2. If $\tau_1 + \tau_2 \ge 0$, then $n \le q^2 + q + 1$.*

Proof. The polynomial $F_G(x)$ can be expressed by

$$F_G(x) = F_2^{(k)}(x) - (\tau_1 + \tau_2) F_1^{(k)}(x) + (k + \tau_1\tau_2) F_0^{(k)}(x).$$

By $\tau_1 + \tau_2 \ge 0$ and Theorem 2.4, we have

$$n \le k_0 + k_2 = q^2 + q + 1.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order n with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{A}$ has only three distinct eigenvalues. If $n \geq q^2+q+1$, then there does not exist a multiple edge in G.*

Proof. Let u, v be vertices in G. Let $\partial(u,v)$ be the distance between u and v. Assume that there exist multiple edges between u and v. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 1 + |\{w \in V\colon \partial(u,w)=1\}| + |\{w \in V\colon \partial(u,w)=2\}| \\ &\leq 1 + (k-1) + |\{w \in V\colon \partial(u,w)=2, (v,w) \in E\}| \\ &\qquad + |\{w \in V\colon \partial(u,w)=2, (v,w) \notin E\}| \\ &\leq 1 + (k-1) + (k-2) + (k-1)(k-2) = q^2+q < q^2+q+1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let l_v be the number of loops of $v \in V$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order n with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{A}$ has only three distinct eigenvalues. If $n > q^2+q+1$, then G is simple and becomes strongly regular.*

Proof. It suffices to show that G is simple. Let τ_1, τ_2 be the non-trivial distinct eigenvalues of G. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\tau_1+\tau_2 < 0$. By Lemma 3.2, G has no multiple edge. The Hoffman polynomial of G can be expressed by

$$H_G(x) = n\frac{(x-\tau_1)(x-\tau_2)}{(k-\tau_1)(k-\tau_2)}.$$

It therefore follows that

$$n(\boldsymbol{A}^2 - (\tau_1+\tau_2)\boldsymbol{A} + \tau_1\tau_2\boldsymbol{I}) = (k-\tau_1)(k-\tau_2)\boldsymbol{J}. \tag{3.1}$$

Comparing the (v,v)-entry of the both sides in (3.1), we obtain

$$l_v^2 - (\tau_1+\tau_2+1)l_v = \frac{1}{n}(k-\tau_1)(k-\tau_2) - k - \tau_1\tau_2.$$

The value $l_v^2 - (\tau_1+\tau_2+1)l_v$ is constant for each $v \in V$. If $l_v > 0$ for each $v \in V$, then

$$n \leq 1 + (k-2) + (k-2)(k-2) = q^2 - q + 1 < q^2+q+1,$$

which contradicts our assumption. We may suppose some $v \in V$ satisfies $l_v = 0$. This implies that $l_u^2 - (\tau_1+\tau_2+1)l_u = 0$, namely $l_u = 0$ or $l_u = \tau_1+\tau_2+1$ for each $u \in V$.

Since τ_1 and τ_2 are algebraic integers, both of them are rational integers or irrational numbers. Assume $l_u = \tau_1+\tau_2+1 > 0$ for some $u \in V$. Suppose τ_1 and τ_2 are rational integers. Since $\tau_1+\tau_2 < 0$, we have $\tau_1+\tau_2+1 \leq 0$, which contradicts $l_u > 0$. Suppose τ_1 and τ_2 are irrational numbers $a \pm \sqrt{b}$. Since $\tau_1+\tau_2 = 2a < 0$ and $\tau_1+\tau_2+1 = 2a+1 > 0$, we have $0 < l_u = 2a+1 < 1$, which contradicts l_u is an integer. Therefore $l_u = 0$ for each $u \in V$ and G is simple. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order n with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{A}$ has only three distinct eigenvalues. If $n > q^2 + q + 1$ and $k \geq 3$, then there does not exist G except for Moore graphs. If $n > q^2 + q + 1$ and $k = 2$, then G is the cycle graphs of order 4 or 5.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, G is strongly regular, and let (n, k, λ, μ) be the parameters of G. The assertion clearly holds for $k = 2$. Suppose $k \geq 3$. Let τ_1, τ_2 be the non-trivial distinct eigenvalues of G. If $\mu \geq 2$, then

$$n = k + 1 + \frac{k^2 - \lambda k - k}{\mu} \leq \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{k}{2} + 1 \leq q^2 + q + 1 \tag{3.2}$$

from $k \geq 3$ and [6, 1.4.1. Proposition]. Thus $\mu = 1$. If $\lambda = 0$, then G is a Moore graph. If $\lambda = 1$, there does not exist G [12]. If $\lambda > 1$, then there exists an integer s such that $k = s(\lambda+1)$ and $n = 1+s(\lambda+1)+s(s-1)(\lambda+1)^2$ [12], which gives

$$n = 1 + k + k^2 - s(\lambda + 1)^2 \leq 1 + k + k^2 - 3k < q^2 + q + 1. \qquad \square$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order n with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. If $\boldsymbol{A}$ has only three distinct eigenvalues, then*

$$n \leq q^2 + q + 1$$

for $k \neq 2, 3, 7, 57$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, if $n > q^2 + q + 1$, then G is a Moore graph. There does not exist a Moore graph except for $k \in \{2, 3, 7, 57\}$ [4, 10]. This implies the theorem. $\square$

4 Largest regular multigraphs with three eigenvalues

In this section, we determine the largest k-regular multigraphs G with only three distinct eigenvalues for infinitely many degrees k. Let n be the order of G. For $k = 2, 3, 7, 57$, we have $n \leq q^2 + 2q + 2$ with $q = k - 1$, and the largest graphs are Moore graphs. The following Moore graphs uniquely exist: the 5-cycle for $k = 2$, the Petersen graph [16] for $k = 3$, and the Hoffman–Singleton graph for $k = 7$ [16]. For $k = 57$, the existence of the Moore graph is a famous open problem.

For $k \neq 2, 3, 7, 57$, we have $n \leq q^2 + q + 1$ by Theorem 3.5. Largest graphs are constructed from projective planes. Refer to [26] for projective planes. Suppose q is a prime power. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of order q. Let V_q be a three-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mathcal{P}_q$ (*resp.* $\mathcal{L}_q$) be the set

of all one-dimensional (*resp.* two-dimensional) subspaces of V_q. Note that $|\mathcal{P}_q| = |\mathcal{L}_q| = q^2+q+1$. A point $p \in \mathcal{P}_q$ is incident with a line $l \in \mathcal{L}_q$ if $p \subset l$. The point-line geometry $(\mathcal{P}_q, \mathcal{L}_q)$ is called a classical finite projective plane. Let Γ_q denote the incidence graph of $(\mathcal{P}_q, \mathcal{L}_q)$. The graph Γ_q is bipartite and its adjacency matrix can be expressed by

$$\begin{pmatrix} O & \boldsymbol{A} \\ \boldsymbol{A}^\top & O \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\boldsymbol{A}$ is the incidence matrix of $(\mathcal{P}_q, \mathcal{L}_q)$. The set of eigenvalues of Γ_q is $\{\pm(q+1), \pm\sqrt{q}\}$. We may suppose $\boldsymbol{A}$ is symmetric by the correspondence $\{(p,l) \in \mathcal{P}_q \times \mathcal{L}_q \colon p \perp l\}$, where we use the usual inner product of V_q. This implies that $\boldsymbol{A}$ is the adjacency matrix of a $(q+1)$-regular graph G_q with only three distinct eigenvalues $\{q+1, \pm\sqrt{q}\}$. Note that G_q has loops. Therefore for any prime power $q = k-1$, the graph G_q is a largest k-regular multigraph attaining the bound in Theorem 3.5.

The following is a necessary condition for a graph to attain the bound in Theorem 3.5.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order n with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{A}$ has only three distinct eigenvalues k, τ_1, τ_2. If $n = q^2+q+1$, then G has a loop and no multiple edge, $l_v \in \{0,1\}$ for each $v \in V$, and $\tau_1 + \tau_2 = 0$.*

Proof. By $n = q^2+q+1$ and Lemma 3.2, there does not exist a multiple edge in G. If there exists $v \in V$ such that $l_v > 1$, then

$$n \le 1 + (k-2) + (k-2)(k-1) = q^2 < q^2+q+1.$$

Thus $l_v \le 1$ for each $v \in V$. As we see in the proof of Lemma 3.3, there exists $v \in V$ such that $l_v = 0$. Moreover $l_u^2 - (\tau_1+\tau_2+1)l_u = 0$, namely $l_u = 0$ or $l_u = \tau_1+\tau_2+1$ for each $u \in V$. If there exists $u \in V$ such that $l_u = \tau_1+\tau_2+1 = 1$, then $\tau_1+\tau_2 = 0$. Assume $l_u = 0$ for each $u \in V$. Now G is a strongly regular graph with parameters (v,k,λ,μ). If $\mu \ge 2$, then (3.2) holds. The last equality in (3.2) is attained only for $(n,k) = (7,3)$, which is impossible. Thus $\mu = 1$. By the same argument as the last part in the proof of Lemma 3.4, for any λ there does not exist G of order q^2+q+1. □

An isomorphism φ from $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ to the dual plane $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P})$ is a *polarity* if φ is an involution. There exists a polarity of a finite projective plane if and only if the incidence matrix is symmetric.

Theorem 4.2. *The existence of a connected k-regular multigraph G of order q^2+q+1 with only three distinct eigenvalues is equivalent to the existence of a finite projective plane $PG(2,q)$ that admits a polarity.*

Proof. If a finite projective plane $PG(2,q)$ that admits a polarity exists, then the incidence matrix can be symmetric, and it is the adjacency matrix of a k-regular multigraph of order q^2+q+1 with only three distinct eigenvalues.

Let G be a connected k-regular multigraph of order q^2+q+1, with only three distinct eigenvalues. By Lemma 4.1, the bipartite double graph G' of G is simple. Since the number of distinct eigenvalues of G' is 4, the diameter of G' is at most 3. Thus the graph G' attains the well-known upper bound $n \le 2(1+q+q^2)$, and the girth of G' is 6. The graph G' is the cage $v(k,6)$, and G' must be the incidence graph of a finite projective plane $PG(2,q)$ (see [6, Section 6.9]). Now the incidence matrix of the projective plane $PG(2,q)$ is symmetric, and hence there exists a polarity on it. □

5 Concluding remarks

5.1 Remaining degrees

The largest k-regular multigraphs with only three distinct eigenvalues are determined for a prime power $q = k-1$ in this paper. Open cases of small degrees are $k = 11, 13, 15, 16, 19, 21, 22, 23, \ldots$. This problem is closely related to the existence of finite projective planes of non-prime power order. For $q \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 4$, if a projective plane of order q exists, then q is the sum of two integral squares [8]. Therefore for $k = 13$ a projective plane of order 14 does not exist. For $k = 11$, there does not exist a finite projective plane of order 10 by a computer search [18]. If $\boldsymbol{A}$ is the adjacency matrix of some k-regular multigraph, then $\boldsymbol{A}+t\boldsymbol{I}$ is that of a $(k+t)$-regular multigraph, and has the same number of distinct eigenvalues as $\boldsymbol{A}$. This construction gives a candidate of the largest graph when a projective plane does not exist.

5.2 Classification of the largest graph

The classification of the largest k-regular multigraphs with only three distinct eigenvalues seems to be difficult. From a finite projective plane and its polarities, we may obtain many largest graphs. The polarities of a finite classical plane $(\mathcal{P}_q, \mathcal{L}_q)$ are classified [3, p.103, p. 108, p. 111]. Indeed the polarity σ can be represented by a symmetrical α-form f with an involution $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Namely, for a polarity σ of $(\mathcal{P}_q, \mathcal{L}_q)$, there exists a nonsingular matrix (a_{ij}) of size n such that $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $a_{ij}^{\alpha} = a_{ji}$ and for $X \in \mathcal{P}_q \cup \mathcal{L}_q$,

$$X^{\sigma} = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \colon \mathbf{y}^{\top}(a_{ij})\mathbf{x}^{\alpha} = 0, \forall \mathbf{x} \in X\},$$

where $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha} = (x_1^{\alpha}, \ldots, x_n^{\alpha})^{\top}$. Conversely, for an involution $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, a nonsingular matrix (a_{ij}) with $a_{ij}^{\alpha} = a_{ji}$ gives a polarity by this manner.

5.3 Large graphs with four or six eigenvalues

The incidence graph of the generalized quadrangle $GQ(q,q)$ (*resp.* hexagon $GH(q,q)$) has the eigenvalues $\{\pm(q+1), \pm\sqrt{2q}, 0\}$ (*resp.* $\{\pm(q+1), \pm\sqrt{3q}, \pm\sqrt{q}, 0\}$) for each prime power q. The known generalized quadrangle $GQ(q,q)$ (*resp.* hexagon $GH(q,q)$) is self-dual if and only if q is a power of 2 (*resp.* 3). But $GQ(q,q)$ (*resp.* $GH(q,q)$) admits a polarity only when q is an odd power of 2 (*resp.* 3) [6, Section 6.5]. If $GQ(q,q)$ (*resp.* $GH(q,q)$) has a polarity, then we can obtain a $(q+1)$-regular multigraph of order $1+q+q^2+q^3$ (*resp.* $1+q+q^2+q^3+q^4+q^5$) with four eigenvalues $\{-(q+1), \pm\sqrt{2q}, 0\}$ (*resp.* six eigenvalues $\{-(q+1), \pm\sqrt{3q}, \pm\sqrt{q}, 0\}$). This is a candidate of the largest graph.

5.4 Dual problem: s-distance set

We can observe a lot of similar properties between regular graphs and finite sets in a Euclidean sphere by swapping the "dual" concepts between them [23]. For instance, the eigenvalue of graphs is the dual concept of the inner product of spherical sets. A Euclidean set is an *s-distance set* if the number of Euclidean distances between distinct points in X is s. The major problem for s-distance sets is to determine the largest possible s-distance set for given s and dimension. This is the dual version of the problem in this paper. The largest spherical 2-distance set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given for any n that is not $n = (2k+1)^2 - 3, k \in \mathbb{N}$ [5, 13, 20, 28]. The largest spherical 3-distance set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given for $n = 3, 8, 22$ [25, 21]. For $s \geq 4$, it is still open for any dimension. The following results are used to determine the largest spherical s-distance sets: absolute bound [11], linear programming bound [11], harmonic absolute bound [21, 24], and Larman–Rogers–Seidel's theorem [19, 22]. For undirected multigraphs, the dual versions of absolute bound, linear programming bound, and harmonic absolute bound were given in this paper as Moore bound, Theorem 2.2, and Theorem 2.4, respectively. We do not know the dual version of Larman–Rogers–Seidel's theorem, but we used some combinatorial method and properties of strongly-regular graphs instead of it.

Acknowledgments. The author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 16K17569, 26400003.

References

[1] A. Abiad, E.R. van Dam, and M.A. Fiol, Some spectral and quasi-spectral characterizations of distance-regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **143** (2016), 1–18.

[2] N. Alon, Eigenvalues and expanders, *Combinatorica* **6** (1986), 83–96.

[3] R. Baer, *Linear Algebra and Projective Geometry*, Academic Press, New York, (1952).

[4] E. Bannai and T. Ito, On finite Moore graphs, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **20** (1973), 191–208.

[5] A. Barg and W.-H. Yu, New bounds for spherical two-distance sets, *Exp. Math.* **22** (2013), no. 2, 187–194.

[6] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, *Distance-regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1989).

[7] A.E. Brouwer and W.H. Haemers, *Spectra of Graphs*, Springer, New York, (2012).

[8] R.H. Bruck and H.J. Ryser, The nonexistence of certain finite projective planes, *Canadian J. Math.* **1** (1949), 88–93.

[9] S.M. Cioabă, J.H. Koolen, H. Nozaki, and J.R. Vermette, Maximizing the order of a regular graph of given valency and second eigenvalue, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **30** (2016), no. 3, 1509–1525.

[10] R.M. Damerell, On Moore graphs, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **74** (1973), 227–236.

[11] P. Delsarte, J.M. Goethals, and J.J. Seidel, Spherical codes and designs, *Geom. Dedicata* **6** (1977), no. 3, 363–388.

[12] J. Deutsch, and P.H. Fisher, On strongly regular graphs with $\mu = 1$, *Europ. J. Combin.* **22** (2001), 303–306.

[13] A. Glazyrin, W.-H. Yu, Upper bounds for s-distance sets and equiangular lines, preprint, arXiv:1611.09479.

[14] A.J. Hoffman, On the polynomial of a graph, *Am. Math. Mon.* **70** (1963), 30–36.

[15] A.J. Hoffman and M.H. McAndrew, The polynomial of a directed Graph, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 303–309.

[16] A.J. Hoffman and R.R. Singleton, On Moore graphs with diameters 2 and 3, *IBM J. Res. Develop.* **4** (1960), 497–504.

[17] S. Hoory, N. Linial, and A. Wigderson, Expander graphs and their applications, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **46** (2006), 439–561.

[18] C.W.H. Lam, The search for a finite projective plane of order 10, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **98** (1991), no. 4, 305–318.

[19] D.G. Larman, C.A. Rogers, and J.J. Seidel, On two-distance sets in Euclidean space, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **9** (1977), no. 3, 261–267.

[20] O.R. Musin, Spherical two-distance sets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **116** (2009), no. 4, 988–995.

[21] O.R. Musin and H. Nozaki, Bounds on three- and higher-distance sets, *European J. Combin.* **32** (2011), no. 8, 1182–1190.

[22] H. Nozaki, A generalization of Larman–Rogers–Seidel's theorem, *Discrete Math.* **311** (2011), no. 10–11, 792–799.

[23] H. Nozaki, Linear programming bounds for regular graphs, *Graphs Combin.* **31** (2015), 1973–1984.

[24] H. Nozaki and M. Shinohara, On a generalization of distance sets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **117** (2010), no. 7, 810–826.

[25] M. Shinohara, Uniqueness of maximum three-distance sets in the three-dimensional Euclidean space, preprint, arXiv:1309.2047.

[26] E.E. Shult, *Points and Lines, Characterizing the Classical Geometries*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2011).

[27] R. Singleton, On minimal graphs of maximum even girth, *J. Combin. Theory* **1** (1966), 306–332.

[28] W.-H. Yu, New bounds for equiangular lines and spherical two-distance sets, preprint, arXiv:1609.01036.